arXiv:0810.3317v1 [math.AP] 18 Oct 2008

Existence and nonexistence of traveling waves for a nonlocal monostable equation

Hiroki Yagisita
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University
Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-Ku, Kyoto-City, 603-8555, Japan

Abstract We consider the nonlocal analogue of the Fisher-KPP equation

$$u_t = \mu * u - u + f(u),$$

where μ is a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ and f satisfies $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $f > 0$ in $(0, 1)$. We do not assume that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. We show that there is a constant c_* such that it has a traveling wave solution with speed c when $c \geq c_*$ while no traveling wave solution with speed c when $c < c_*$, provided $\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y) < +\infty$ for some positive constant λ. We also show that it has no traveling wave solution, provided $f'(0) > 0$ and $\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y) = +\infty$ for all positive constants λ.

Keywords: spreading speed, convolution model,
integro-differential equation, discrete monostable equation,
nonlocal evolution equation, Fisher-Kolmogorov equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 35K57, 35K65, 35K90, 45J05.

A proposed running title: Traveling Waves in Nonlocal Systems II.

1 Introduction

In 1930, Fisher [8] introduced the reaction-diffusion equation $u_t = u_{xx} + u(1-u)$ as a model for the spatial spread of an advantageous form of a single gene in a population. He [9] found that there is a constant c_* such that the equation has a traveling wave solution with speed c when $c \geq c_*$ while it has no such solution when $c < c_*$. Kolmogorov, Petrovsky and Piskunov [16] obtained the same conclusion for a monostable equation $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$ with a more general nonlinearity f, and investigated long-time behavior in the model. Since the pioneering works, there have been extensive studies on traveling waves and long-time behavior for monostable evolution systems.

In this paper, we consider the following nonlocal analogue of the Fisher-KPP equation:

$$u_t = \mu * u - u + f(u). \tag{1.1}$$

Here, μ is a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ and the convolution is defined by

$$(\mu * u)(x) := \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} u(x-y)d\mu(y)$$

for a bounded and Borel-measurable function u on $\mathbb{R}$. The nonlinearity f is a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $f > 0$ in $(0, 1)$. Then, $G(u) := \mu * u - u + f(u)$ is a map from the Banach space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and it is Lipschitz continuous. (We note that $u(x-y)$ is a Borel-measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ implies $\|\mu * u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}}(\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |u(x-y)|dx)d\mu(y)$=0.) So, because the standard theory of ordinary differential equations works, we have well-posedness of the equation (1.1) and it generates a flow in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

For the nonlocal monostable equation, Atkinson and Reuter [1] first studied existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions. Schumacher [21, 22] showed that there is the minimal speed c_* of traveling wave solutions and it has a traveling wave solution with speed c when $c \geq c_*$, provided the extra condition $f(u) \leq f'(0)u$ and some little ones. Here, we say that the solution $u(t, x)$ is *a traveling wave solution with profile* ψ *and speed* c, if $u(t, x) \equiv \psi(x - x_0 + ct)$ holds for some constant x_0 with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$. Further, Coville, Dávila and Martínez [6] proved the following theorem:

Theorem ([6]) *Suppose the nonlinearity* $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* $f'(1) < 0$ *and the Borel-measure* μ *has a density function* $J \in C(\mathbb{R})$ *with*

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} (|y| + e^{-\lambda y})J(y)dy < +\infty$$

for some positive constant λ*. Then, there exists a constant* c_* *such that the equation (1.1) has a traveling wave solution with monotone profile and speed* c *when* $c \geq c_*$ *while it has no such solution when* $c < c_*$*.*

Recently, the author [28] also obtained the following:

Theorem ([28]) *Suppose there exists a positive constant* λ *such that*

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda|y|}d\mu(y) < +\infty$$

holds. Then, there exists a constant c_* *such that the equation (1.1) has a traveling wave solution with monotone profile and speed* c *when* $c \geq c_*$ *while it has no periodic traveling wave solution with average speed* c *when* $c < c_*$*. Here, a solution* $\{u(t,x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *to (1.1) is said to be a periodic traveling wave solution with average speed* c*, if there exists a positive constant* τ *such that* $u(t+\tau,x) = u(t,x+c\tau)$ *holds for all* t *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $0 \leq u(t,x) \leq 1$, $\lim_{x\to+\infty} u(t,x) = 1$ *and* $\|u(t,x) - 1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \neq 0$*.*

The goal of this paper is to improve this result of [28], and the following two theorems are the main results:

Theorem 1 *Suppose there exists a positive constant* λ *such that*

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y}d\mu(y) < +\infty$$

holds. Then, there exists a constant c_* *such that the equation (1.1) has a traveling wave solution with monotone profile and speed* c *when* $c \geq c_*$ *while it has no periodic traveling wave solution with average speed* c *when* $c < c_*$*. Here, a solution* $\{u(t,x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *to (1.1) is said to be a periodic traveling wave solution with average speed* c*, if there exists a positive constant* τ *such that* $u(t+\tau,x) = u(t,x+c\tau)$ *holds for all* t *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $0 \leq u(t,x) \leq 1$, $\lim_{x\to+\infty} u(t,x) = 1$ *and* $\|u(t,x) - 1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \neq 0$*.*

Theorem 2 *Suppose the nonlinearity $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$f'(0) > 0.$$

Suppose the measure μ satisfies

$$\int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y) = +\infty$$

for all positive constants λ. Then, the equation (1.1) has no periodic traveling wave solution.

In these results, we do not assume that the measure μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. For example, not only the integro-differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,x) = \int_0^1 u(t, x-y)dy - u(t,x) + f(u(t,x))$$

but also the discrete equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,x) = u(t, x-1) - u(t,x) + f(u(t,x))$$

satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1 for the measure μ. In order to prove these results, we employ the recursive method for monotone dynamical systems by Weinberger [25] and Li, Weinberger and Lewis [17]. We note that the semiflow generated by the equation (1.1) does not have compactness with respect to the compact-open topology.

Schumacher [21, 22], Carr and Chmaj [3] and Coville, Dávila and Martínez [6] also studied uniqueness of traveling wave solutions. In [6], we could see an interesting example of nonuniqueness, where the equation (1.1) admits infinitely many monotone profiles for standing wave solutions but it admits no continuous one. See, e.g., [5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 23, 24, 26, 27] on traveling waves and long-time behavior in various monostable evolution systems, [2, 4] nonlocal bistable equations and [20] Euler equation.

In Section 2, we recall abstract results for monotone semiflows from [28]. In Section 3, we give basic facts for nonlocal equations in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1. In Section 5, we recall a result on spreading speeds by Weinberger [25]. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 2.

2 Abstract results for monotone semiflows

In this section, we recall some abstract results for monotone semiflows from [28]. Put a set of functions on $\mathbb{R}$;

$$\mathcal{M} := \{u \,|\, u \text{ is a monotone nondecreasing}$$

$$\text{and left continuous function on } \mathbb{R} \text{ with } 0 \le u \le 1\}.$$

The followings are basic conditions for discrete dynamical systems on $\mathcal{M}$:

Hypotheses 3 *Let Q_0 be a map from $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{M}$.*

(i) *Q_0 is continuous in the following sense: If a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ converges to $u \in \mathcal{M}$ uniformly on every bounded interval, then the sequence $\{Q_0[u_k]\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $Q_0[u]$ almost everywhere.*

(ii) *Q_0 is order preserving; i.e.,*

$$u_1 \le u_2 \Longrightarrow Q_0[u_1] \le Q_0[u_2]$$

for all u_1 and $u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. Here, $u \le v$ means that $u(x) \le v(x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) *Q_0 is translation invariant; i.e.,*

$$T_{x_0} Q_0 = Q_0 T_{x_0}$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, T_{x_0} is the translation operator defined by $(T_{x_0}[u])(\cdot) := u(\cdot - x_0)$.

(iv) *Q_0 is monostable; i.e.,*

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \Longrightarrow \alpha < Q_0[\alpha]$$

for all constant functions α.

Remark If Q_0 satisfies Hypothesis 3 (iii), then Q_0 maps constant functions to constant functions.

We add the following conditions to Hypotheses 3 for continuous dynamical systems on $\mathcal{M}$:

Hypotheses 4 *Let $Q := \{Q^t\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ be a family of maps from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$.*

(i) *Q is a semigroup; i.e., $Q^t \circ Q^s = Q^{t+s}$ for all t and $s \in [0, +\infty)$.*

(ii) *Q is continuous in the following sense: Suppose a sequence $\{t_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset [0, +\infty)$ converges to 0, and $u \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, the sequence $\{Q^{t_k}[u]\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to u almost everywhere.*

From [28], we recall the following two results for continuous dynamical systems on $\mathcal{M}$:

Theorem 5 *Let Q^t be a map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ for $t \in [0,+\infty)$. Suppose Q^t satisfies* Hypotheses 3 *for all $t \in (0,+\infty)$, and $Q := \{Q^t\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$* Hypotheses 4*. Then, the following holds :*

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose there exist $\tau \in (0,+\infty)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $(Q^\tau[\phi])(x - c\tau) \le \phi(x)$, $\phi \not\equiv 0$ and $\phi \not\equiv 1$. Then, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $(Q^t[\psi])(x - ct) \equiv \psi(x)$ holds for all $t \in [0,+\infty)$.

Theorem 6 *Let Q^t be a map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ for $t \in [0,+\infty)$. Suppose Q^t satisfies* Hypotheses 3 *for all $t \in (0,+\infty)$, and $Q := \{Q^t\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$* Hypotheses 4*. Then, there exists $c_* \in (-\infty,+\infty]$ such that the following holds :*

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $(Q^t[\psi])(x - ct) \equiv \psi(x)$ holds for all $t \in [0,+\infty)$ if and only if $c \ge c_*$.

3 Basic facts for nonlocal equations in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

In this section, we give some basic facts for the equation

$$u_t = \hat{\mu} * u + g(u) \tag{3.1}$$

on the phase space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. First, we have the comparison theorem for (3.1) on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$:

Lemma 7 *Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. Let g be a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $T \in (0,+\infty)$, and two functions u^1 and $u^2 \in C^1([0,T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$. Suppose that for any $t \in [0,T]$, the inequality*

$$u^1_t - \big(\hat{\mu} * u^1 + g(u^1)\big) \le u^2_t - \big(\hat{\mu} * u^2 + g(u^2)\big)$$

holds almost everywhere in x. Then, the inequality $u^1(T,x) \le u^2(T,x)$ holds almost everywhere in x if the inequality $u^1(0,x) \le u^2(0,x)$ holds almost everywhere in x.

Proof. Put $K \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$K := -\inf_{h>0,u\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(u+h) - g(u)}{h}, \tag{3.2}$$

and $v \in C^1([0,T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$v(t) := e^{Kt}(u^2 - u^1)(t). \tag{3.3}$$

Then, we have the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = F(t,v) \tag{3.4}$$

in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $v(0) = (u^2 - u^1)(0)$ as we define a map $F : [0,T] \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$F(t,w) := \hat{\mu} * w + Kw + e^{Kt}\left(g(u^1(t) + e^{-Kt}w) - g(u^1(t))\right) + e^{Kt}a(t),$$

where

$$a := \left(\frac{du^2}{dt} - \left(\hat{\mu} * u^2 + g(u^2)\right)\right) - \left(\frac{du^1}{dt} - \left(\hat{\mu} * u^1 + g(u^1)\right)\right).$$

For any $t \in [0,T]$, we see the inequality

$$a(t,x) \geq 0 \tag{3.5}$$

almost everywhere in x. Take the solution $\tilde{v} \in C^1([0,T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ to

$$\tilde{v}(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t \max\{F(s, \tilde{v}(s)), 0\} ds. \tag{3.6}$$

Then, for any $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\tilde{v}(t,x) \geq v(0,x) = (u^2 - u^1)(0,x) \geq 0 \tag{3.7}$$

almost everywhere in x. By using (3.2), (3.5) and (3.7), for any $t \in [0,T]$, we also have the inequality $F(t, \tilde{v}(t)) \geq 0$ almost everywhere in x. Hence, from (3.6), $\tilde{v}(t)$ is the solution to the same ordinary differential equation (3.4) in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ as $v(t)$ with $\tilde{v}(0) = v(0)$. So, in virtue of (3.3) and (3.7),

$$(u^2 - u^1)(T,x) = e^{-KT}v(T,x) = e^{-KT}\tilde{v}(T,x) \geq 0$$

holds almost everywhere in x. ■

The following lemma gives a invariant set and some positively invariant sets of the flow on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the equation (3.1):

Lemma 8 *Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. Let g be a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then, the followings hold* :

(i) *For any $u_0 \in BC(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a solution $\{u(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset BC(\mathbb{R})$ to (3.1) with $u(0) = u_0$. Here, $BC(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$.*

(ii) *Suppose a constant γ satisfies $\gamma\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) + g(\gamma) = 0$. If $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\gamma \le u_0$, then there exists a solution $\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to (3.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ and $\gamma \le u(t)$. If $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $u_0 \le \gamma$, then there exists a solution $\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to (3.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ and $u(t) \le \gamma$.*

(iii) *If u_0 is a bounded and monotone nondecreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$, then there exists a solution $\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to (3.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ such that $u(t)$ is a bounded and monotone nondecreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. If u_0 is a bounded and monotone nonincreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$, then there exists a solution $\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to (3.1) with $u(0) = u_0$ such that $u(t)$ is a bounded and monotone nonincreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.*

Proof. We could see (i), because $BC(\mathbb{R})$ is a closed sub-space of the Banach space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $u \in BC(\mathbb{R})$ implies $\hat{\mu} * u + g(u) \in BC(\mathbb{R})$.

We could also see (ii) by using Lemma 7, because the constant γ is a solution to (3.1).

We show (iii). Suppose u_0 is a bounded and monotone nondecreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$. We take a solution $\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to (3.1) with $u(0) = u_0$. Let $t \in [0, +\infty)$ and $h \in [0, +\infty)$. Then, by Lemma 7, we see $u(t, x) \le u(t, x + h)$ almost everywhere in x. We take a cutoff function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$|x| \ge 1/2 \implies \rho(x) = 0,$$

$$|x| < 1/2 \implies \rho(x) > 0$$

and

$$\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \rho(x)dx = 1.$$

As we put

$$v_n(x) := \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} 2^n \rho(2^n(x - y))u(t, y)dy$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see $v_n(x) \le v_n(x + h)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, v_n is smooth, bounded and monotone nondecreasing. By Helly's theorem, there exist a subsequence n_k and a bounded and monotone nondecreasing function ψ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} v_{n_k}(x) = \psi(x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\|u(t,x) - \psi(x)\|_{L^1([-C,+C])} \le \lim_{k\to\infty}(\|u(t,x) - v_{n_k}(x)\|_{L^1([-C,+C])} + \|v_{n_k}(x) - \psi(x)\|_{L^1([-C,+C])}) = 0$ holds for all $C \in (0,+\infty)$. Hence, we obtain $\|u(t,x) - \psi(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = 0$. ■

Lemma 9 *Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in\mathbb{R}} |u_n(x)| < +\infty.$$

Suppose the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to 0 uniformly on every bounded interval. Then, the sequence $\{\hat{\mu} * u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to 0 uniformly on every bounded interval.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,+\infty)$. We take a positive constant C such that

$$\left(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in\mathbb{R}} |u_n(x)|\right) \hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \setminus (-C,+C)) \le \varepsilon$$

holds. Then, because

$$|(\hat{\mu} * u_n)(x)| \le \int_{y\in(-C,+C)} |u_n(x-y)| d\hat{\mu}(y) + \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}\setminus(-C,+C)} |u_n(x-y)| d\hat{\mu}(y)$$

$$\le \left(\sup_{y\in(-C,+C)} |u_n(x-y)|\right) \hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) + \left(\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}} |u_n(x-y)|\right) \hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \setminus (-C,+C))$$

holds, we have

$$\sup_{x\in[-I,+I]} |(\hat{\mu} * u_n)(x)| \le \left(\sup_{y\in(-(I+C),+(I+C))} |u_n(y)|\right) \hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) + \varepsilon$$

for all $I \in (0,+\infty)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-I,+I]} |(\hat{\mu} * u_n)(x)| \le \varepsilon$$

for all $I \in (0,+\infty)$. ■

Proposition 10 *Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$, g a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$, and T a positive constant. Let a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C^1([0,T], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ of solutions to the equation (3.1) satisfy*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in\mathbb{R}} |u_n(0,x) - u_0(0,x)| < +\infty.$$

Suppose

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-I,+I]} |u_n(0,x) - u_0(0,x)| = 0$$

holds for all positive constants I. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u_n(t,x) - u_0(t,x)\|_{L^{\infty}([-J,+J])} = 0$$

holds for all positive constants J.

Proof. First, we take a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of nonnegative, bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in\mathbb{R}} |w_n(x)| < +\infty \tag{3.8}$$

such that $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 uniformly on every bounded interval and

$$|u_n(0,x) - u_0(0,x)| \leq w_n(x) \tag{3.9}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\hat{A}$ denote the bounded and linear operator from the Banach space $BC(\mathbb{R})$ to $BC(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\hat{A}w := \hat{\mu} * w.$$

From (3.8), we see $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in\mathbb{R}} |(\hat{A}^k w_n)(x)| < +\infty$ for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Hence, because of $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-I,+I]} |w_n(x)| = 0$ for all $I \in (0, +\infty)$, by Lemma 9, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-J,+J]} |(\hat{A}^k w_n)(x)| = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for all $J \in (0, +\infty)$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Let γ denote the constant defined by

$$\gamma := \sup_{h>0, u\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(u+h) - g(u)}{h}.$$

Then, we consider the following two sequences $\{\underline{v}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\overline{v}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C^1([0,T], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ defined by

$$\underline{v}_n(t,x) := u_0(t,x) - e^{\gamma t}(e^{\hat{A}t}w_n)(x)$$

and

$$\overline{v}_n(t,x) := u_0(t,x) + e^{\gamma t}(e^{\hat{A}t}w_n)(x).$$

Because $(e^{\hat{A}t}w_n)(x)$ is nonnegative for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0,+\infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $\underline{v}_n$ is a sub-solution to (3.1) and $\overline{v}_n$ is a super-solution to (3.1) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, by Lemma 7 and (3.9), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0,T]$,

$$|u_n(t,x) - u_0(t,x)| \le e^{\gamma t}(e^{\hat{A}t}w_n)(x) \tag{3.11}$$

holds almost everywhere in x.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,+\infty)$. We take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1+e^{\gamma T})\left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty}\frac{(T\|\hat{A}\|_{BC(\mathbb{R})\to BC(\mathbb{R})})^k}{k!}\right)\left(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N},x\in\mathbb{R}}|w_n(x)|\right) \le \varepsilon$$

holds. Then, in virtue of (3.11), we see

$$\|u_n(t,x) - u_0(t,x)\|_{L^{\infty}([-J,+J])} \le \sup_{x\in[-J,+J]}|e^{\gamma t}(e^{\hat{A}t}w_n)(x)|$$

$$= e^{\gamma t}\left(\sup_{x\in[-J,+J]}\left|\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{t^k}{k!}(\hat{A}^k w_n)(x)\right) + \left(\left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty}\frac{t^k}{k!}\hat{A}^k\right)w_n\right)(x)\right|\right)$$

$$\le (1+e^{\gamma T})\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{T^k}{k!}\left(\sup_{x\in[-J,+J]}|(\hat{A}^k w_n)(x)|\right)\right) + \varepsilon$$

for all $J \in (0,+\infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0,T]$. So, by (3.10), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u_n(t,x) - u_0(t,x)\|_{L^{\infty}([-J,+J])} \le \varepsilon$$

for all $J \in (0,+\infty)$. ■

4 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 by using the results of Sections 2 and 3. The argument in this section is almost similar as in [28]. First, we recall that μ is a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1$, f is a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $f > 0$ in $(0, 1)$ and the set $\mathcal{M}$ has been defined at the beginning of Section 2. Then, in virtue of Lemmas 7, 8 and Proposition 10, Q^t $(t \in (0, +\infty))$ satisfies Hypotheses 3 and Q Hypotheses 4 for the semiflow $Q = \{Q^t\}_{t\in[0,+\infty)}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ generated by (1.1). So, Theorems 5 and 6 can work for this semiflow on $\mathcal{M}$.

If the flow on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ generated by (1.1) has a *periodic* traveling wave solution with *average* speed c (even if the profile is not a monotone function), then it has a traveling wave solution with *monotone* profile and speed c:

Theorem 11 *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose there exist a positive constant τ and a solution $\{u(t, x)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to (1.1) with $0 \le u(t, x) \le 1$, $\lim_{x\to+\infty} u(t, x) = 1$ and $\|u(t, x) - 1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \ne 0$ such that*

$$u(t + \tau, x) = u(t, x + c\tau)$$

holds for all t and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $\{\psi(x + ct)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a solution to (1.1).

Proof. Put two monotone nondecreasing functions $\varphi(x) := \max\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, \alpha \le u(0, y)$ holds almost everywhere in $y \in (x, +\infty)\}$ and $\phi(x) := \lim_{h\downarrow+0} \varphi(x - h)$. Then, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$, $\phi(-\infty) < 1$ and $\phi(+\infty) = 1$ hold. We take a cutoff function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$|x + 1/2| \ge 1/2 \implies \rho(x) = 0,$$

$$|x + 1/2| < 1/2 \implies \rho(x) > 0$$

and

$$\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \rho(x)dx = 1.$$

As we put

$$v_n(x) := \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} 2^n \rho(2^n(x - y))u(0, y)dy$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see $\phi \le v_n$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Because of $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|v_n(x) - u(0, x)\|_{L^1([-N,+N])} = 0$, there exists a subsequence n_k such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} v_{n_k}(x)$

$= u(0,x)$ almost everywhere in $x \in [-N,+N]$. Therefore, we have $\phi(x) \leq u(0,x)$ almost everywhere in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So, by Lemma 7, we obtain $Q^\tau[\phi](x - c\tau) \leq u(\tau, x - c\tau) = u(0,x)$ almost everywhere in x. Hence, because $Q^\tau[\phi](x - c\tau) \leq \varphi(x)$ holds, we get $Q^\tau[\phi](x - c\tau) \leq \phi(x)$. Therefore, by Theorem 5, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $Q^t[\psi](x - ct) \equiv \psi(x)$ holds for all $t \in [0,+\infty)$. ■

The infimum c_* of the speeds of traveling wave solutions is not $-\infty$, and there is a traveling wave solution with speed c when $c \geq c_*$:

Lemma 12 *There exists $c_* \in (-\infty, +\infty]$ such that the following holds* :

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $\{\psi(x + ct)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a solution to (1.1) if and only if $c \geq c_*$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.

Let c_* be the infimum of the speeds of traveling wave solutions with monotone profile. Then, in virtue of Theorem 11 and Lemma 12, it is sufficient if we show $c_* \neq +\infty$.

Take $K \in [0,+\infty)$ such that

$$K \geq \max\left\{\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y), \mu(\mathbb{R})\right\} - 1 + \sup_{h>0} \frac{f(h)}{h}.$$

As we put $\phi(x) := \min\{e^{\lambda x}, 1\} \in \mathcal{M}$, we see

$$(\mu * \phi)(x) \leq \min\left\{\left(\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y)\right) e^{\lambda x}, \mu(\mathbb{R})\right\}$$

$$\leq \max\left\{\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y), \mu(\mathbb{R})\right\} \phi(x).$$

So, $e^{Kt}\phi(x)$ is a super-solution to (1.1), because of

$$e^{Kt}(\mu * \phi) - e^{Kt}\phi + f(e^{Kt}\phi) \leq K e^{Kt}\phi.$$

Hence, by Lemma 7, we obtain $Q^1[\phi](x) \leq e^K\phi(x) \leq e^{\lambda(x + \frac{K}{\lambda})}$, and $Q^1[\phi](x - \frac{K}{\lambda}) \leq \phi(x)$. Therefore, from Theorem 5, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $Q^t[\psi](x - \frac{K}{\lambda}t) \equiv \psi(x)$ holds for all $t \in [0,+\infty)$. So, $c_* \leq \frac{K}{\lambda}$ holds. ■

5 A result on spreading speeds by Weinberger

In this section, we recall a result by Weinberger [25]. It is used to prove Theorem 2 in Section 6. Put a set of functions on $\mathbb{R}$;

$$\mathcal{B} := \{u \,|\, u \text{ is a continuous function on } \mathbb{R} \text{ with } 0 \leq u \leq 1\}.$$

Hypotheses 13 *Let $\tilde{Q}_0$ be a map from $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{B}$.*

(i) *$\tilde{Q}_0$ is continuous in the following sense: If a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}$ converges to $u \in \mathcal{B}$ uniformly on every bounded interval, then the sequence $\{(\tilde{Q}_0[u_k])(x)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $(\tilde{Q}_0[u])(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(ii) *$\tilde{Q}_0$ is order preserving; i.e.,*

$$u_1 \leq u_2 \Longrightarrow \tilde{Q}_0[u_1] \leq \tilde{Q}_0[u_2]$$

for all u_1 and $u_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Here, $u \leq v$ means that $u(x) \leq v(x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) *$\tilde{Q}_0$ is translation invariant; i.e.,*

$$T_{x_0}\tilde{Q}_0 = \tilde{Q}_0 T_{x_0}$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, T_{x_0} is the translation operator defined by $(T_{x_0}[u])(\cdot) := u(\cdot - x_0)$.

(iv) *$\tilde{Q}_0$ is monostable; i.e.,*

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \Longrightarrow \alpha < \tilde{Q}_0[\alpha]$$

for all constant functions α, and $\tilde{Q}_0[0] = 0$.

Remark If $\tilde{Q}_0$ satisfies Hypotheses 13 (ii) and (iii), then $\tilde{Q}_0$ maps monotone functions to monotone functions.

Theorem 14 *Let a map $\tilde{Q}_0 : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ satisfy Hypotheses 13. Let a continuous and monotone nonincreasing function φ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \varphi(-\infty) < 1$ satisfy $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, +\infty)$. For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define the sequence $\{a_{c,n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of continuous and monotone nonincreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}$ by the recursion*

$$a_{c,n+1}(x) := \max\{(\tilde{Q}_0[a_{c,n}])(x + c), \varphi(x)\}$$

with $a_{c,0} := \varphi$. *Then,*

$$0 \leq a_{c,n} \leq a_{c,n+1} \leq 1$$

holds for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. *For* $c \in \mathbb{R}$, *define the bounded and monotone nonincreasing function* a_c *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$a_c(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} a_{c,n}(x).$$

Let $\tilde{\nu}$ *be a Borel-measure on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with* $1 < \tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. *Suppose there exists a positive constant* ε *such that the inequality*

$$\tilde{\nu} * u \leq \tilde{Q}_0[u]$$

holds for all $u \in \mathcal{B}$ *with* $u \leq \varepsilon$. *Then, the inequality*

$$\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\tilde{\nu}(y) \;\leq\; \sup\{c \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, a_c(+\infty) = 1\}$$

holds.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 6.4 in [25] with $N := 1$, $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{R}$, $\pi_0 := 0$, $\pi_1 = \pi_+ := 1$, $S^{N-1} := \{\pm 1\}$ and $\xi := +1$. ■

From Theorem 14, we have the following:

Proposition 15 *Let* $\hat{\mu}$ *be a Borel-measure on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with* $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$. *Let* $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, *and* $\hat{\psi}$ *be a monotone nonincreasing function on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with* $\hat{\psi}(-\infty) = 1$ *and* $\hat{\psi}(+\infty) = 0$. *Suppose* $\{\hat{\psi}(x - c_0 t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *is a solution to*

$$u_t = \hat{\mu} * u - u + f(u). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\tilde{Q}_0 : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ *be the time* 1 *map of the semiflow on* $\mathcal{B}$ *generated by the equation (5.1). Let* $\tilde{\nu}$ *be a Borel-measure on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with* $1 < \tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. *Suppose there exists a positive constant* ε *such that the inequality*

$$\tilde{\nu} * u \leq \tilde{Q}_0[u]$$

holds for all $u \in \mathcal{B}$ *with* $u \leq \varepsilon$. *Then, the inequality*

$$\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\tilde{\nu}(y) \;\leq\; c_0$$

holds.

Proof. We take a continuous and monotone nonincreasing function φ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \varphi(-\infty) < 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, +\infty)$. For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the sequence $\{a_{c,n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of continuous and monotone nonincreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}$ by the recursion

$$a_{c,n+1}(x) := \max\{(\tilde{Q}_0[a_{c,n}])(x + c), \varphi(x)\}$$

with $a_{c,0} := \varphi$. We also take $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(x) \leq \hat{\psi}(x - x_0)$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $c \in [c_0, +\infty)$. Then, we show $a_{c,n}(x) \leq \hat{\psi}(x-x_0)$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. We have $a_{c,0}(x) = \varphi(x) \leq \hat{\psi}_0(x - x_0)$. As $a_{c,n}(x) \leq \hat{\psi}(x - x_0)$ holds almost everywhere in x,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{c,n+1}(x) &\leq \max\{(\tilde{Q}_0[a_{c,n}])(x + c_0), \varphi(x)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\hat{\psi}(x - x_0), \varphi(x)\} = \hat{\psi}(x - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

also holds almost everywhere in x, because $\hat{\psi}(x - x_0 - c_0t)$ is a solution to (5.1). So, for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, the inequality $a_{c,n}(x) \leq \hat{\psi}(x - x_0)$ holds almost everywhere in x. Hence, because $a_{c,n}$ is continuous and $\hat{\psi}$ is monotone, we have

$$a_{c,n}(x) \leq \hat{\psi}(x - x_0) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in [c_0, +\infty)$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Therefore, by Theorem 14, (5.2) and $\hat{\psi}(+\infty) = 0$, the inequality

$$\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\tilde{\nu}(y) \leq \sup(\mathbb{R} \setminus [c_0, +\infty)) = c_0$$

holds. ■

6 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. First, we give a basic fact for the linear equation

$$v_t = \hat{\mu} * v \tag{6.1}$$

on the phase space $BC(\mathbb{R})$:

Lemma 16 *Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. Let $\hat{P} : BC(\mathbb{R}) \to BC(\mathbb{R})$ be the time 1 map of the flow on $BC(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the linear equation (6.1). Then, there exists a Borel-measure $\hat{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$ such that*

$$\hat{P}[v] = \hat{\nu} * v$$

holds for all $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$. Further, if v is a nonnegative, bounded and continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$, then the inequality

$$v + \hat{\mu} * v \leq \hat{\nu} * v$$

holds.

Proof. Put a functional $\tilde{P} : BC(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\tilde{P}[v] := (\hat{P}[v])(0).$$

Then, the functional $\tilde{P}$ is linear, bounded and positive. Hence, there exists a Borel-measure $\tilde{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$ such that if a continuous function v on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} v(x) = 0$, then

$$\tilde{P}[v] = \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} v(y) d\tilde{\nu}(y) \tag{6.2}$$

holds.

Let $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset BC(\mathbb{R})$ with $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in\mathbb{R}} |v_n(x)| < +\infty$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} v_n(x) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v_n \to v$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly on every bounded interval. From Proposition 10, (6.2) and $\tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$, we have

$$\tilde{P}[v] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{P}[v_n] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} v_n(y) d\tilde{\nu}(y) = \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} v(y) d\tilde{\nu}(y).$$

We take a Borel-measure $\hat{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$ such that

$$\hat{\nu}((-\infty, y)) = \tilde{\nu}((-y, +\infty))$$

holds for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$(\hat{P}[v])(x) \equiv \tilde{P}[v(\cdot + x)] \equiv \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} v(y + x) d\tilde{\nu}(y) \equiv (\hat{\nu} * v)(x).$$

Let v be a nonnegative, bounded and continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then, in $t \in [0, +\infty)$, the function

$$u(t, x) := v(x) + t(\hat{\mu} * v)(x)$$

is a sub-solution to (6.1), because of $v(x) \leq u(t, x)$. Hence,

$$v + \hat{\mu} * v \leq \hat{P}[v]$$

holds. ■

Lemma 17 *Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$. Suppose a constant γ and a Lipschitz continuous function g on $\mathbb{R}$ with $g(0) = 0$ satisfy $\gamma < g'(0)$. Let $\tilde{P} : BC(\mathbb{R}) \to BC(\mathbb{R})$ be the time 1 map of the flow on $BC(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the linear equation*

$$v_t = \hat{\mu} * v + \gamma v. \tag{6.3}$$

Let $\tilde{P}_0 : BC(\mathbb{R}) \to BC(\mathbb{R})$ be the time 1 map of the flow on $BC(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the equation

$$v_t = \hat{\mu} * v + g(v). \tag{6.4}$$

Then, there exists a positive constant ε such that the inequality

$$\tilde{P}[v] \leq \tilde{P}_0[v]$$

holds for all $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. We take a positive constant ε such that

$$h \in [0, (1 + e^{\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R})+\gamma})\varepsilon] \implies \gamma h \leq g(h) \tag{6.5}$$

holds. Let a function $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon$. Then, we take the solution $\tilde{v}(t, x)$ to (6.3) with $\tilde{v}(0, x) = v(x)$. We see

$$0 \leq \tilde{v}(t, x) \leq e^{(\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R})+\gamma)t}\varepsilon \leq (1 + e^{\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R})+\gamma})\varepsilon$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence, from (6.5), in $t \in [0, 1]$, the function $\tilde{v}(t, x)$ is a sub-solution to (6.4). So, the inequality

$$(\tilde{P}[v])(x) = \tilde{v}(1, x) \leq (\tilde{P}_0[v])(x)$$

holds. ■

We use Proposition 15, Lemmas 16 and 17 to show the following:

Lemma 18 *Let $f'(0) > 0$. Suppose there exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = 1$ such that $\{\psi(x + ct)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a solution to (1.1). Then, there exists a positive constant λ such that*

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y) < +\infty$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be the Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ such that

$$\hat{\mu}((-\infty, y)) = \mu((-y, +\infty))$$

holds for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\hat{P} : BC(\mathbb{R}) \to BC(\mathbb{R})$ be the time 1 map of the flow on $BC(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the linear equation (6.1). Then, by Lemma 16, there exists a Borel-measure $\hat{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty$ such that for any $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\hat{P}[v] = \hat{\nu} * v \tag{6.6}$$

holds and for any nonnegative, bounded and continuous function v on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\hat{\mu} * v \leq \hat{\nu} * v \tag{6.7}$$

holds. Let $\tilde{P} : BC(\mathbb{R}) \to BC(\mathbb{R})$ be the time 1 map of the flow on $BC(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the linear equation

$$v_t = \hat{\mu} * v - v + \frac{f'(0)}{2} v.$$

Then, from (6.6) and (6.7), as $\tilde{\nu}$ is the Borel-measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\nu} := e^{-1+\frac{f'(0)}{2}} \hat{\nu},$$

we have

$$\tilde{P}[v] = \tilde{\nu} * v \tag{6.8}$$

for all $v \in BC(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\hat{\mu} * v \leq e^{1-\frac{f'(0)}{2}} (\tilde{\nu} * v) \tag{6.9}$$

for all nonnegative, bounded and continuous functions v on $\mathbb{R}$. Because $\tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) = (\tilde{\nu} * 1)(0) = (\tilde{P}[1])(0) = e^{\frac{f'(0)}{2}}$ holds from (6.8), we also have

$$1 < \tilde{\nu}(\mathbb{R}) < +\infty. \tag{6.10}$$

Let $\tilde{Q}_0 : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ be the time 1 map of the semiflow on $\mathcal{B}$ generated by the equation (5.1). Then, from Lemma 17 and (6.8), there exists a positive constant ε such that the inequality

$$\tilde{\nu} * u = \tilde{P}[u] \leq \tilde{Q}_0[u]$$

holds for all $u \in \mathcal{B}$ with $u \leq \varepsilon$. Further, $\hat{\psi}(x - ct) := \psi(-(x - ct))$ is a solution to (5.1). Therefore, by Proposition 15 and (6.10), we obtain the inequality

$$\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\tilde{\nu}(y) \;\leq\; c.$$

So, there exists a positive constant λ such that

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\tilde{\nu}(y) \leq e^{\lambda(c+1)} < +\infty$$

holds. Hence, from (6.9),

$$\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda y} d\mu(y) = \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\hat{\mu}(y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} \min\{e^{\lambda y}, n\} d\hat{\mu}(y)$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} (\hat{\mu} * \min\{e^{-\lambda x}, n\})(0) \leq e^{1-\frac{f'(0)}{2}} \lim_{n\to\infty} (\tilde{\nu} * \min\{e^{-\lambda x}, n\})(0)$$

$$= e^{1-\frac{f'(0)}{2}} \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} \min\{e^{\lambda y}, n\} d\tilde{\nu}(y) = e^{1-\frac{f'(0)}{2}} \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} d\tilde{\nu}(y) < +\infty$$

holds. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.

It follows from Theorem 11 and Lemma 18. ■

Acknowledgments. It was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.19740092) from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References

[1] C. Atkinson and G. E. H. Reuter, Deterministic epidemic waves, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 80 (1976), 315-330.

[2] P. W. Bates, P. C. Fife, X. Ren and X. Wang, Traveling waves in a convolution model for phase transitions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 138 (1997), 105-136.

[3] J. Carr and A. Chmaj, Uniqueness of travelling waves for nonlocal monostable equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132 (2004), 2433-2439.

[4] X. Chen, Existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic stability of traveling waves in nonlocal evolution equations, *Adv. Differential Equations*, 2 (1997), 125-160.

[5] X. Chen and J.-S. Guo, Uniqueness and existence of traveling waves for discrete quasilinear monostable dynamics, *Math. Ann.*, 326 (2003), 123-146.

[6] J. Coville, J. Dávila and S. Martínez, Nonlocal anisotropic dispersal with monostable nonlinearity, *J. Differential Equations*, 244 (2008), 3080-3118.

[7] J. Coville and L. Dupaigne, On a non-local equation arising in population dynamics, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A*, 137 (2007), 727-755.

[8] R. A. Fisher, *The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1930.

[9] R. A. Fisher, The wave of advance of advantageous genes, *Ann. Eugenics*, 7 (1937), 335-369.

[10] B. H. Gilding and R. Kersner, *Travelling Waves in Nonlinear Diffusion-Convection Reaction*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[11] J.-S. Guo and F. Hamel, Front propagation for discrete periodic monostable equations, *Math. Ann.*, 335 (2006), 489-525.

[12] J.-S. Guo and Y. Morita, Entire solutions of reaction-diffusion equations and an application to discrete diffusive equations, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems*, 12 (2005), 193-212.

[13] F. Hamel and N. Nadirashvili, Entire solutions of the KPP equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52 (1999), 1255-1276.

[14] Y. Hosono, The minimal speed for a diffusive Lotka-Volterra model, *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 60 (1998), 435-448.

[15] Y. Kan-on, Fisher wave fronts for the Lotka-Volterra competition model with diffusion, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 28 (1997), 145-164.

[16] A. N. Kolmogorov, I. G. Petrovsky and N. S. Piskunov, Étude de l'équation de la difusion avec croissance de la quantité de matière et son application à un problème biologique, *Bull. Univ. Moskov. Ser. Internat. A*, 1 (1937), 1-25.

[17] B. Li, H. F. Weinberger and M. A. Lewis, Spreading speeds as slowest wave speeds for cooperative systems, *Math. Biosci.*, 196 (2005), 82-98.

[18] X. Liang, Y. Yi and X.-Q. Zhao, Spreading speeds and traveling waves for periodic evolution systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 231 (2006), 57-77.

[19] X. Liang and X.-Q. Zhao, Asymptotic speeds of spread and traveling waves for monotone semiflows with applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60 (2007), 1-40.

[20] H. Okamoto and M. Shoji, *The Mathematical Theory of Permanent Progressive Water-Waves*, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, 2001.

[21] K. Schumacher, Travelling-front solutions for integro-differential equations. I, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 316 (1980), 54-70.

[22] K. Schumacher, Travelling-front solutions for integrodifferential equations II, *Biological Growth and Spread*, pp. 296-309, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1980.

[23] K. Uchiyama, The behavior of solutions of some nonlinear diffusion equations for large time, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 18 (1978), 453-508.

[24] A. I. Volpert, V. A. Volpert and V. A. Volpert, *Traveling wave solutions of parabolic systems*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.

[25] H. F. Weinberger, Long-time behavior of a class of biological models, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 13 (1982), 353-396.

[26] H. F. Weinberger, On spreading speeds and traveling waves for growth and migration models in a periodic habitat, *J. Math. Biol.*, 45 (2002), 511-548.

[27] H. F. Weinberger, M. A. Lewis and B. Li, Anomalous spreading speeds of cooperative recursion systems, *J. Math. Biol.*, 55 (2007), 207-222.

[28] H. Yagisita, Existence of traveling wave solutions for a nonlocal monostable equation: an abstract approach, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, submitted (**For editors and referees:** http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3612).